Otto

But I

wanted it as an evidence of the process of poetry as approaching truth
with no more guise than itself Otto

is an interesting thing to say considering you read it somewhere and you know what you read is hardly messages of messengers who call themselves we

wrapped in a new wife's voice transcripts of which were once twice edited by her husband to the philosophy he made to find there — if a voice like that one quavers

the printed page is certainly a lesser medium though the better it's known it may seem more like a voice Olson said himself I mean, mind you, I hope I can keep this side of mediumism

but to free a voice to become not your own to say God knows what to perform before friends awake your dreaming

a

He thought this explained the apparent reality of the phenomena encompassing the dictation transcription and dialogue that all those who smelt burnt chicken feathers or lavender

or roses where there were none or heard at night whistling in the hall

the breath being blown through their lips all dreamed the same dream

the dreamers as witnesses of number enough to ensure against

exactly Otto what he might have called an imagination its knowledge and learning become wise and proud that desecrates itself intent what is imagined is what is alone

recall the servant girl he says who saw his arm in a sling in his daydream as she passed and this is not thought dissimilar to a story of an army passing

as a herd of stag as the old poetry has itnow what do you make of that Otto?